

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/099,858	03/14/2002	Bonnie M. Davis	U 013913-4	4479
140	7590 05/27/2004		EXAM	INER
LADAS & PARRY			SHARAREH, SHAHNAM J	
26 WEST 61ST STREET NEW YORK, NY 10023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/099,858	DAVIS, BONNIE M.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Shahnam Sharareh	1617
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap eriod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thin I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 I</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal mat	
isposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-36 is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4, 37-39 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	vn from consideration.	
pplication Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected.	ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in a onty documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
ttachment(s)	∆ □ :	Summany (PTO 412)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/28/2002.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election of claims directed to the use of the compound Galanthamine is acknowledged. Claims 1-4, 37-39 are directed to this species. Claims 5-36 are withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to a nonelected species.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Davis et al US Patent 4,663,318.

Davis teaches the use of Galanthamine for treating Alzheimer disease at the doses of about 5-25 mg a day. (see col 2, lines 45-65; cols 3-4). Galanthamine is a cholinesterase inhibitor; thus, it modulates the activity of nicotinic receptors. Davis treats individuals in need of Galanthamine therapy in effective doses; thus, he inherently disclose methods of treating the effects of low LDL-cholesterol values in the brain on cognitive performance or CNS function by modulating nicotinic receptors for at least claims 1, 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 1617

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-4, 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis, Kvipelto et al, (BMJ, Vol 322, 16 June 2001) and Simons et al, (Neurology 2001;57:1089-1093).

The scope of the instant claims encompasses such elderly population that may suffer from both conditions hyperlipidemia and Alzheimer disease. Thus, any patient within such population that receives HMG-CoA treatment for hyperlipidemia and Galanthamine for their Alzheimer would meet the process steps of the instant claims.

The teachings of Davis are described above. Davis teaches the use of Galanthamine for treating Alzheimer which is a disease associated with advance of age and increase level of cholesterol.

To establish that there is at least a sub-population within the geriatric population suffers from both hyperlipidemia and Alzheimer, Examiner draws applications attention to the articles by Kivipelto and Simons.¹

¹ See Kvipelto et al, BMJ, Vol 322, 16 June 2001, at pages 1447, 1449-1450. Aso see Simons et al, Neurology 2001;57:1089-1093 at for example page 1091, 2nd-4th para.

Art Unit: 1617

Kivipelto shows that advancing age leads to increase cholesterol concentrations, which eventually leads to higher risk of Alzheimer disease. Kivipelto in table 2, and para 1 and 2 of page 1449 describes that high cholesterol concentrations are significant risk factors for Alzheimer's disease.

Simons show that at least in risk of developing Alzheimer is lower in patients that receive Statins for treating hyperlipidemia. (see Simon at pages 1091-1092). The use of Statins at clinically significant levels of cholesterol values is well within the level of ordinary skill in the art. Simon already attests that there are studies wherein patients with AD are also receiving statins. Accordingly, Statins are not only useful for treating high cholesterol but also for lowering the risk of patients at risk to develop Alzheimer.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to add to the drug regimen of elderly patients who suffer from Alzheimer and are already receiving statins for their hyperlipidemia, as described by Simon and suggested by Kivipelto, sufficient doses of galanthamine, because as described by Davis, the ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in observing improvement of their Alzheimer.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed at this time.

Examiner notes that adding such limitations to the instant claims directing the instant methods to positive recited method steps of determining the LDL- values in the brain, and administering Galanthamine to patients having a value of less than 109 mg/dl LDL-cholesterol could advance the prosecution.

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shahnam Sharareh whose telephone number is 571-272-0630. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan, PhD can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SS